# State Managed Forest Trust Lands: The Trust Mandate

B. Bruce Bare, Dean College of Forest Resources University of Washington, Seattle February 20, 2007

Presented at Southwest Washington Chapter, SAF Olympia, WA

## **Topical Outline**

- State of the College
- <u>Background</u>: the changing nature of natural resource management and how it <u>impacts</u> trust land management
- Trust mandate for state managed forest trust lands

## Shift in Management Philosophy

- o 20<sup>th</sup> Century
- Agricultural Model
- <u>Utilitarian</u>
- Output oriented
- Stand level
- Timber primacy (sustained yield)
- o Multiple use

- o 21st Century
- Ecosystem Model
- o Naturalistic
- State oriented
- Landscape view
- <u>Multi-resource</u> (sustainability)
- o Integrated use

## 21st Century

- Societal <u>emphasis</u> on:
  - <u>protection</u> forests vs. <u>production</u>
  - <u>natural</u> forests vs. <u>plantations</u>
  - preservation vs. conservation
  - <u>use</u> value vs. <u>exchange</u> value
  - <u>passive</u> management vs. <u>active</u>

## Why a Paradigm Shift?

- Changing <u>societal</u> <u>values</u> of a <u>growing</u> and <u>urbanizing</u> <u>population</u>
- Growing <u>influence</u> of the <u>science</u> <u>of ecology</u>
- Popular issues -
  - Climate change, biodiversity, invasives, tropical deforestation, restoration, endangered species, wildfire, clean water, and forest health

## Why a Paradigm Shift?

- Additional issues
  - ecosystem <u>loss</u> and <u>fragmentation</u>
  - loss of <u>habitat</u> <u>connectivity</u>
  - forest land conversion
  - loss of <u>ecosystem</u> <u>services</u>
  - loss of <u>forest structures</u> and <u>biodiversity</u> in managed forests
  - <u>policy incentives</u> to seek higher returns from land investments

## Sustainable Forestry

- A type of <u>management</u> that views the forest not as the source of any one economic product or service, but as an <u>integrated whole</u>
- Respects the <u>full range</u> of <u>environmental</u>, <u>social</u>, and <u>economic</u> values of the forest and attempts to <u>integrate</u> these diverse values

Source: Roundtable on Sustainable Forests

- Management of our State's forest trust assets is growing increasingly complex as a consequence of these issues and pressures
- How do we <u>ensure</u> that these <u>assets</u> will continue to be managed <u>productively</u> to retain their characterization as <u>working</u> forests?

- Federal grant lands (1889)
  - 3 million acres of land; now 1.5 million acres of forest land
- State forest lands
  - State forest transfer lands (1930's)
    - now 546,000 acres of forest land
  - State forest purchase lands (1920's)
    - now 80,000 acres of forest land

- Community College Forest Reserve (1990)
  - 3,200 acres of forest land
- King County Water Pollution Control Division (1995)
  - 4,300 acres of forest land
- TOTAL State Managed Forest Trust Lands: 2.1 million acres (1.4 westside; 0.7 eastside)

#### Federal Grant Lands

- Feb 22, 1889 <u>Enabling Act</u> and Nov 11, 1889 <u>State Constitution</u>
- Separate grants given to State for specific purposes (K-12 schools, university, scientific school, agricultural college, capitol, penitentiary, other normal schools and public institutions)
- Trust revenues used principally for construction

#### Federal Grant Lands

- Courts have ruled that granted lands are to be treated as <u>trusts</u> for the <u>purposes</u> for which they were granted
- o the Legislature is the trustee
- as trustee, the <u>Legislature</u> must (essentially) act as a <u>private</u> trustee
- <u>DNR</u> is the trust <u>manager</u>

#### State Forest Lands

- State forest transfer lands are treated as a single trust and managed similarly to the federal forest grant lands
- State forest purchase lands are not held in trust under federal or state statute; but they are managed similarly to the federal forest grant lands
- Unlike the federal grant lands, the <u>Legislature</u> can <u>change</u> the purpose of the trust

# State Managed Forest Trust Lands: Trust Mandate

- DNR's <u>legal</u> <u>duty</u> is to produce <u>long-term</u> <u>income</u> for the trust beneficiaries. The trust mandate is grounded in four <u>tenets</u>:
  - <u>prudent</u> <u>person</u> doctrine
  - <u>undivided</u> <u>loyalty</u> to the trusts
  - <u>intergenerational</u> <u>equity</u> versus maximizing current income
  - avoiding foreclosing <u>future</u> <u>options</u>
- The trust mandate must be <u>implemented</u> with respect to other <u>applicable</u> laws and rules as well

Source: Policy for Sustainable Forests

- <u>Duties</u> of a <u>trustee</u> originate from <u>common law</u>
  - Must adhere to <u>terms</u> of the trust as established in the <u>Enabling Act</u> (grant lands) or <u>state statute</u> (state <u>transfer</u> lands)
  - Must act <u>prudently</u>
  - Account to trust <u>beneficiaries</u> for earnings and expenses
  - Must manage trust <u>only</u> for <u>interests</u> of the beneficiaries (<u>undivided</u> <u>loyalty</u>)

Source: AGO No. 11, 1996

- Must <u>diversify</u> <u>assets</u> of the trust if prudent to manage risk
- Make trust <u>productive</u>
- If <u>multiple</u> beneficiaries exist, <u>all</u> must be treated <u>impartially</u>
- A <u>perpetual</u> federal grant trust must balance <u>long-term</u> and <u>short-term</u> <u>interests</u> so <u>current</u> and <u>future</u> beneficiaries are not harmed

## Trust Manager Duties

- Must comply with <u>statutory</u> directives such as laws of <u>general</u> application
- Discretion is measured against an <u>abuse</u> of <u>discretion</u> standard
- May <u>only</u> take into account factors <u>consistent</u> with ensuring <u>economic</u> value and <u>productivity</u> of the trust

Source: AGO No. 11, 1996

## Skamania vs. State of Washington

- 1983 court case upheld by WA <u>Supreme</u>
   <u>Court</u> concluded that federal grant lands are <u>trusts</u> and require the same <u>fiduciary</u> duties from the State as applicable to private trustees
- Three trust <u>principles</u> were explicitly cited: <u>undivided loyalty</u>, <u>prudent</u> trust asset management, and <u>preserve</u> <u>principal</u> of the trust

# Resource Management Cost Account

- An <u>account</u> created solely for the purpose of defraying the costs and expenses necessarily incurred by the department in <u>managing</u> and <u>administering</u> state grant lands and aquatic lands
- Applies to all federal grant lands <u>except</u> <u>agricultural</u> college lands
- Funds pooled into a single account
- BNR adopts rules necessary and proper to manage account

Source: RCW 79.64.020

# Resource Management Cost Account

- BNR sets size of the deductions authorized under this section which shall not exceed thirty percent of the money received (until FY 08)
- HB 1045 (passed House) and SB 5462
- An <u>accounting</u> shall be made <u>annually</u> of the accrued expenditures from the pooled trust funds in the account

Source: RCW 79.64.020

# The End